

Norm Continuity (for $t > 0$) of Propagators of Arbitrary Order Abstract Differential Equations in Hilbert Spaces*

Liang Jin

etadate, citation and similar papers at core.ac.uk

and

Xiao Tijun

*Department of Mathematics, Yunnan Teachers' University, Kunming 650092,
People's Republic of China*

Submitted by Joseph A. Ball

Received June 29, 1995

Let (ACP_n) , $u^{(n)}(t) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} A_k u^{(k)}(t) = 0$ ($t \geq 0$), $u^{(k)}(0) = u_k$ ($0 \leq k \leq n-1$) be strongly wellposed where the A_k ($0 \leq k \leq n-1$) are densely defined closed linear operators in a Hilbert space. Let $S_k(t)$ ($0 \leq k \leq n-1$) be the propagators of (ACP_n) and $P_\lambda = \lambda^n I + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \lambda^k A_k$. It is shown that $S_k^{(k)}(t)$ ($\forall 0 \leq k \leq n-1$) is norm continuous for $t > 0$ if and only if

$$\lim_{|\omega| \rightarrow \infty} \|(\tau_0 + i\omega)^{n-1} P_{\tau_0 + i\omega}^{-1}\| = 0,$$

$$\lim_{|\omega| \rightarrow \infty} \|(\tau_0 + i\omega)^{k-1} \overline{P_{\tau_0 + i\omega}^{-1} A_k}\| = 0, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n-1,$$

for a suitable real number τ_0 . © 1996 Academic Press, Inc.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a complex Hilbert space, A_k ($0 \leq k \leq n-1$) be densely defined closed linear operators in H . Of concern is the abstract

* Research supported by the National NSF of China and the ABSF of Yunnan Province.

Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} u^{(n)}(t) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} A_k u^{(k)}(t) = 0, & t \geq 0; \\ u^{(k)}(0) = u_k & (0 \leq k \leq n-1). \end{cases} \quad (\text{ACP}_n)$$

Problem (ACP_n) models many phenomena in nature which vary in time. Initial value problems with time-independent operators are suitable in this form. Mixed problems with time-independent operators and time-independent boundary conditions are also suitable in this form. In the case of mixed problems the boundary conditions are absorbed into the definitions of $D(A_k)$ ($0 \leq k \leq n-1$) and the fundamental space. Among the prototypes of (ACP_n) are linear elastic systems with damping, the damped wave systems, the mathematical model of motion of a thin panel, etc. The study on (ACP_n) has attracted many researchers' attention (see, e.g., [1-7, 9-23] and references therein).

Following [24, 25], the authors [26] carried on the study of the strong wellposedness and the analyticity of (ACP_n) in the case of Banach spaces, and obtained a Hille-Yosida-Phillips type characterization for (ACP_n) to be strongly wellposed, a characterization for analyticity of (ACP_n) , a general criterion for solvability of (ACP_n) , and a perturbation theorem (these results were also announced in [27]). In this paper, we pay our attention to the characterization of the norm continuity for $t > 0$ of the propagators of (ACP_n) .

Recently, stimulated by [18, Theorem 2.3.6, p. 50] and the techniques of [11], P. You [29] obtained successfully a sufficient and necessary condition for the norm continuity for $t > 0$ of a C_0 semigroup in a Hilbert space, in terms of the spectral property of its infinitesimal generator. It is also a characterization of the norm continuity for $t > 0$ of the propagator of a wellposed (ACP_1) . The purpose of this note is to establish an analogous characterization for the norm continuity for $t > 0$ of the propagators of an arbitrary order strongly wellposed (ACP_n) . Noting that for the special case of $n = 1$, strong wellposedness is equivalent to wellposedness, we can see that our present result is an extension of [29, Theorem 1].

Throughout this paper, \mathbf{R} denotes the real numbers, \mathbf{R}^+ the nonnegative real numbers, \mathbf{C} the complex plane, \mathbf{N} the positive integers, $B(H)$ the set of bounded linear operators from H to H , and

$$P_\lambda = \lambda^n + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \lambda^k A_k, \quad \lambda \in \mathbf{C}.$$

DEFINITION 1 [5]. A solution of (ACP_n) is a function of $u(\cdot) \in C^n(\mathbf{R}^+, H)$ such that $u^{(k)}(t) \in D(A_k)$, $A_k u^{(k)}(\cdot) \in C(\mathbf{R}^+, H)$, $0 \leq k \leq n-1$, and (ACP_n) is satisfied.

DEFINITION 2 [5]. Problem (ACP_n) is wellposed if and only if

(a) There exist dense subspaces D_0, \dots, D_{n-1} of H such that for $u_0 \in D_0, \dots, u_{n-1} \in D_{n-1}$, (ACP_n) has a solution.

(b) There exists a nondecreasing function $K(t)$ defined in $t \geq 0$ such that $\|u(t)\| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} K(t) \|u^{(k)}(0)\|$ ($t \geq 0$), for any solution of (ACP_n) .

Assuming that (ACP_n) is wellposed, we then have n propagators S_0, \dots, S_{n-1} ; S_k is defined in D_k by $S_k(t)x = u_k(t)$ ($t \geq 0$, $x \in D_k$), where $u_k(\cdot)$ is the solution of (ACP_n) with $u_k^{(l)}(0) = \delta_{kl}x$, δ_{kl} the Kronecker delta ($0 \leq k, l \leq n-1$), and extended to all of H by continuity.

DEFINITION 3 [26, 27]. Problem (ACP_n) is called strongly wellposed if the hypotheses in [5, Theorem 6.1] hold, i.e., (ACP_n) is wellposed and for each $x \in H$, $S_k(\cdot)x \in C^{(k)}(\mathbf{R}^+, H)$, $S_{n-1}^{(k-1)}(t)x \in D(A_k)$, and $A_k S_{n-1}^{(k-1)}(\cdot)x$ is continuous in \mathbf{R}^+ , $1 \leq k \leq n-1$.

In the following discussion, we assume that (ACP_n) is strongly wellposed. By $S_k^{(k)}(\cdot)$ ($0 \leq k \leq n-1$), we denote the operators defined as $(S_k^{(k)}(\cdot))x = (S_k(\cdot)x)^{(k)}$ for every $x \in H$. By virtue of the strong wellposedness of (ACP_n) , we know from [5] or [26] that there exist positive constants C , $\mu > 0$ such that

$$\|S_k^{(k)}(t)\| \leq Ce^{\mu t}, \quad t \geq 0, \quad (1.1)$$

$$\sum_{i=k+1}^n \lambda^{i-1} \overline{P_\lambda^{-1} A_i} x = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} S_k^{(k)}(t) x dt, \quad (1.2)$$

$$x \in H, \operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq \mu, 0 \leq k \leq n-1,$$

where $A_n = I$ and $\overline{P_\lambda^{-1} A_i}$ is a bounded extension of $P_\lambda^{-1} A_i$ with domain $\bigcap_{l=0}^i D(A_l)$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Hence for $x \in H$, $\operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq \mu$,

$$\lambda^{n-1} P_\lambda^{-1} x = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} S_{n-1}^{(n-1)}(t) x dt, \quad (1.3)$$

$$\lambda^{k-1} \overline{P_\lambda^{-1} A_k} x = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} [S_{k-1}^{(k-1)}(t) - S_k^{(k)}(t)] x dt, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n-1. \quad (1.4)$$

2. RESULTS AND PROOFS

LEMMA 1. Let E be a complex Banach space and let $G(\cdot)$ be an E -valued function defined on \mathbf{R} with $\|G(\cdot)\| \in L_1(\mathbf{R})$. Then

$$\left\| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ist} G(t) dt \right\| \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } |s| \rightarrow +\infty \ (s \in \mathbf{R}).$$

Proof. Using the arguments similarly as in the scalar case (cf. [8, Proof of (21.39)] and noting [9, Theorem 3.8.3], we can get the conclusion.

LEMMA 2 [28]. Let $f(t)$ ($t \geq 0$) be a strongly measurable H -valued function satisfying $\|f(t)\| \leq Ce^{at}$ ($t \geq 0$) for some C , $a \geq 0$. Then for each $\tau > a$, $g(\omega) := \|\int_0^\infty e^{-(\tau+i\omega)t} f(t) dt\| \in L_2(\mathbf{R})$.

Proof. Proceeding similarly as in the proof of [11, Lemma 1], we can show that $g(\cdot)$ is the Fourier transform of a function in $L_1(\mathbf{R}) \cap L_\infty(\mathbf{R})$; then an application of [8, Lemma (21, 50)] shows the result desired.

THEOREM 1. $S_k^{(k)}(t)$ ($\forall 0 \leq k \leq n-1$) is norm continuous for $t > 0$ if and only if there is a $\tau_0 > \mu$ such that

$$\lim_{|\omega| \rightarrow \infty} \|(\tau_0 + i\omega)^{n-1} P_{\tau_0 + i\omega}^{-1}\| = 0, \quad (2.1)$$

$$\lim_{|\omega| \rightarrow \infty} \|(\tau_0 + i\omega)^{k-1} \overline{P_{\tau_0 + i\omega}^{-1} A_k}\| = 0, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n-1. \quad (2.2)$$

Proof. Necessity. Since $S_{n-1}^{(n-1)}(t)$ is norm continuous for $t > 0$, we have by (1.3),

$$\lambda^{n-1} P_\lambda^{-1} = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} S_{n-1}^{(n-1)}(t) dt, \quad \operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq \mu. \quad (2.3)$$

We now write (2.3) in the form

$$\lambda^{n-1} P_\lambda^{-1} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{ist} G(t) dt, \quad \operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq \mu, \quad (2.4)$$

where

$$s = -\operatorname{Im} \lambda, \quad G(t) = \begin{cases} e^{-Re\lambda t} S_{n-1}^{(n-1)}(t), & t \geq 0, \\ 0, & t < 0. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, according to (1.1) and Lemma 1, we obtain (2.1).

Similarly, the hypotheses, together with (1.4), (1.1), and Lemma 1 show that (2.2) holds.

Sufficiency. This proof will be divided into four steps.

First, we prove that

$$J := \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbf{C} : P_\lambda^{-1} \text{ exists and belongs to } L(H), P_\lambda^{-1} A_k \text{ is closable and } \overline{P_\lambda^{-1} A_k} \in L(H) \ (1 \leq k \leq n-1) \right\} \quad (2.5)$$

is an open subset of \mathbf{C} , the $\overline{P_\lambda^{-1} A_k}$ ($1 \leq k \leq n-1$) are analytic in J , and

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda} (\overline{P_\lambda^{-1} A_k}) = - \left(\sum_{l=1}^n l \lambda^{l-1} \overline{P_\lambda^{-1} A_l} \right) \overline{P_\lambda^{-1} A_k}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n-1. \quad (2.6)$$

For any $\lambda_0 \in J$, $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$, and $x \in \bigcap_{k=0}^{n-1} D(A_k)$,

$$\begin{aligned} P_\lambda x &= P_{\lambda_0} \left(I + \sum_{l=1}^n (\lambda^l - \lambda_0^l) \overline{P_{\lambda_0}^{-1} A_l} \right) x \\ &= P_{\lambda_0} (I + U(\lambda_0, \lambda)) x, \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

where $U(\lambda_0, \lambda) = \sum_{l=1}^n (\lambda^l - \lambda_0^l) \overline{P_{\lambda_0}^{-1} A_l}$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} U(\lambda_0, \lambda) &= \sum_{l=1}^n \left[(\lambda - \lambda_0 + \lambda_0)^l - \lambda_0^l \right] \overline{P_{\lambda_0}^{-1} A_l} \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^n \left[\sum_{i=1}^l C_l^i \lambda_0^{l-i} (\lambda - \lambda_0)^i \right] \overline{P_{\lambda_0}^{-1} A_l} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\sum_{l=i}^n C_l^i \lambda_0^{l-i} \overline{P_{\lambda_0}^{-1} A_l} \right] (\lambda - \lambda_0)^i, \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

we have that for each $\lambda_0 \in J$, there exists a constant $\delta > 0$ such that $\|U(\lambda_0, \lambda)\| \leq 1/2$ when $|\lambda - \lambda_0| < \delta$. Therefore, for every $\lambda \in \{\lambda \in \mathbf{C} : |\lambda - \lambda_0| < \delta\}$, it follows from (2.7) that P_λ^{-1} exists and

$$P_\lambda^{-1} = [I + U(\lambda_0, \lambda)]^{-1} P_{\lambda_0}^{-1}.$$

This identity implies that J is an open subset of \mathbf{C} and for each $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, $\overline{P_\lambda^{-1} A_k}$ is analytic in J due to the analyticity of $U(\lambda_0, \lambda)$. Furthermore, from

$$\begin{aligned} P_\lambda^{-1} - P_{\lambda_0}^{-1} &= P_\lambda^{-1} (P_{\lambda_0} - P_\lambda) P_{\lambda_0}^{-1} \\ &= (\lambda_0 - \lambda) \left[\sum_{l=1}^n \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} \lambda_0^i \lambda^{l-i-1} \overline{P_\lambda^{-1} A_l} \right] P_{\lambda_0}^{-1} \quad (\lambda_0, \lambda \in J), \end{aligned}$$

we know that (2.6) is true.

Secondly, by (2.1) and (2.2), we obtain that for each $1 \leq i \leq n$,

$$\lim_{|\omega| \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{l=i}^n C_l^i (\tau_0 + i\omega)^{l-i} \overline{P_{\tau_0 + i\omega}^{-1} A_l} = 0.$$

Hence, there exists a nondecreasing sequence $\{\omega_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ with $\omega_m \geq m$ ($m \in \mathbf{N}$) such that for $|\omega| \geq \omega_m$, $m \in \mathbf{N}$,

$$\left\| \sum_{l=i}^n C_l^i (\tau_0 + i\omega)^{l-i} \overline{P_{\tau_0+i\omega}^{-1} A_l} \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2nm^n}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n. \quad (2.9)$$

Define

$$S_m = \{\lambda \in \mathbf{C} : |\operatorname{Re} \lambda - \tau_0| \leq m, |\operatorname{Im} \lambda| \geq \omega_n\}, \quad m \in \mathbf{N}.$$

Relations (2.8) and (2.9) imply that for $\lambda \in S_m$ ($m \in \mathbf{N}$),

$$\|U(\tau_0 + i \operatorname{Im} \lambda, \lambda)\| \leq \frac{1}{2}. \quad (2.10)$$

Accordingly, (2.7) yields that $S_m \subset J$ ($m \in \mathbf{N}$) and

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^{k-1} \overline{P_{\lambda}^{-1} A_k} &= \lambda^{k-1} [I + U(\tau_0 + i \operatorname{Im} \lambda, \lambda)]^{-1} \overline{P_{\tau_0 + i \operatorname{Im} \lambda}^{-1} A_k}, \\ 1 \leq k \leq n, \lambda \in S_m \quad (m \in \mathbf{N}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

In the sequel, for convenience, we write $\lambda_0(\lambda) = \tau_0 + i \operatorname{Im} \lambda$ for $\lambda \in J$ and

$$F_k(\lambda) = \lambda^{k-1} \overline{P_{\lambda}^{-1} A_k}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n, \lambda \in J.$$

Then for $1 \leq k \leq n$, $\lambda \in S_m$ ($m \in \mathbf{N}$),

$$\begin{aligned} F_k(\lambda) &= \left(\frac{\lambda - \lambda_0(\lambda) + \lambda_0(\lambda)}{\lambda_0(\lambda)} \right)^{k-1} [I + U(\lambda_0(\lambda), \lambda)]^{-1} F_k(\lambda_0(\lambda)) \\ &= \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} C_{k-1}^i [\lambda_0(\lambda)]^{-i} (\lambda - \lambda_0(\lambda))^i \right\} [I + U(\lambda_0(\lambda), \lambda)]^{-1} \\ &\quad \cdot F_k(\lambda_0(\lambda)), \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

$$\begin{aligned} F_k^*(\lambda) &= \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} C_{k-1}^i [\bar{\lambda}_0(\lambda)]^{-i} (\lambda - \lambda_0(\lambda))^i \right\} F_k^*(\lambda_0(\lambda)) \\ &\quad \cdot [I + U^*(\lambda_0(\lambda), \lambda)]^{-1} \\ &= \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} C_{k-1}^i [\bar{\lambda}_0(\lambda)]^{-i} (\lambda - \lambda_0(\lambda))^i \right\} \\ &\quad \cdot \left\{ F_k^*(\lambda_0(\lambda)) - F_k^*(\lambda_0(\lambda)) [I + U^*(\lambda_0(\lambda), \lambda)]^{-1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times U^*(\lambda_0(\lambda), \lambda) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

Moreover, by (2.1), (2.2), and (2.10), we obtain that there exists a constant $Q > 0$ such that

$$\left\| F_k^*(\lambda_0(\lambda)) [I + U^*(\lambda_0(\lambda), \lambda)]^{-1} \right\| \leq Q, \quad \lambda \in S_m \ (m \in \mathbf{N}). \quad (2.14)$$

Thus, noting that

$$|\lambda_0(\lambda)|^{-i} |\lambda - \lambda_0(\lambda)|^i \leq (\omega_m^{-1} \cdot m)^i \leq 1, \quad 0 \leq i \leq k-1,$$

we deduce that there is a constant $M > 0$ such that

$$\|F_k(\lambda)x\| \leq M \|F_k(\lambda_0(\lambda))x\|, \quad x \in H, \lambda \in S_m \ (m \in \mathbf{N}), 1 \leq k \leq n, \quad (2.15)$$

by looking at (2.12) and (2.10);

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_k^*(\lambda)x\| &\leq M \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda - \lambda_0(\lambda)|^i \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \|F_j^*(\lambda_0(\lambda))x\| \right), \\ x \in H, \lambda \in S_m \ (m \in \mathbf{N}), \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

by means of (2.13), (2.14), and (2.8).

Thirdly, we show that

$$\lim_{l > m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\tau_0 + i\omega_m}^{\tau_0 + i\omega_l} e^{\lambda t} F_k(\lambda) d\lambda = 0, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n, \quad (2.17)$$

$$\lim_{l > m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\tau_0 - i\omega_l}^{\tau_0 - i\omega_m} e^{\lambda t} F_k(\lambda) d\lambda = 0, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n, \quad (2.18)$$

uniformly on compacts of $t > 0$.

In fact, a deformation of contour gives

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\tau_0 + i\omega_m}^{\tau_0 + i\omega_l} e^{\lambda t} F_k(\lambda) d\lambda &= \int_{\tau_0 - m + i\omega_l}^{\tau_0 + i\omega_l} e^{\lambda t} F_k(\lambda) d\lambda + \int_{\tau_0 + i\omega_m}^{\tau_0 - m + i\omega_m} e^{\lambda t} F_k(\lambda) d\lambda \\ &\quad + \int_{\tau_0 - m + i\omega_m}^{\tau_0 - m + i\omega_l} e^{\lambda t} F_k(\lambda) d\lambda = I_1(k) + I_2(k) + I_3(k), \\ &\quad 1 \leq k \leq n. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.15), (2.1), and (2.2), for $1 \leq k \leq n$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_1(k)\| &\leq M \int_{\tau_0 - m}^{\tau_0} e^{\tau l} \|F_k(\tau_0 + i\omega_l)\| dl \leq M t^{-1} e^{\tau_0 t} \|F_k(\tau_0 + i\omega_l)\| \\ &\rightarrow 0, \quad \text{uniformly on compacts of } t > 0, \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty; \\ \|I_2(k)\| &\leq M t^{-1} e^{\tau_0 t} \|F_k(\tau_0 + i\omega_m)\| \\ &\rightarrow 0, \quad \text{uniformly on compacts of } t > 0, \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating by parts, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_3(k) &= \frac{1}{t} e^{\lambda t} F_k(\lambda) \Big|_{\tau_0 - m + i\omega_m}^{\tau_0 - m + i\omega_l} + \frac{1}{t} \int_{\tau_0 - m + i\omega_m}^{\tau_0 - m + i\omega_l} e^{\lambda t} F'_k(\lambda) d\lambda \\ &= I_{3,1}(k) + I_{3,2}(k), \quad 1 \leq k \leq n. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear by (2.15), (2.1), and (2.2) that $\|I_{3,1}(k)\| \rightarrow 0$ ($1 \leq k \leq n$) uniformly on compacts of $t > 0$, as $l > m \rightarrow \infty$. In order to estimate $I_{3,2}(k)$, we need to do some preliminary work.

First of all, by virtue of (2.15) and (2.16), we get that for any $x, y \in H$, $\lambda \in S_m$, ($m \in \mathbf{N}$), $1 \leq k, j \leq n$,

$$\left| \langle F_k(\lambda)x, (\bar{\lambda})^{-1}y \rangle \right| \leq M(\tau_0^2 + \omega^2)^{-1/2} \|y\| \|F_k(\tau_0 + i\omega)x\| \quad (2.19)$$

$$\left| \langle F_k(\lambda)x, F_j^*(\lambda)y \rangle \right| \leq Mnm^n \|F_k(\tau_0 + i\omega)x\| \sum_{i=1}^n \|F_i^*(\tau_0 + i\omega)y\|. \quad (2.20)$$

Next, (1.1), (1.3), (1.4), and Lemma 2 tell us that

$$\|F_k(\tau_0 + i\omega)x\| \in L_2(\mathbf{R}), \quad x \in H, 1 \leq k \leq n. \quad (2.21)$$

Moreover, since for any $x, y \in H$, $1 \leq k \leq n$,

$$\langle y, [S_{k-1}^{(k-1)}(t) - S_k^{(k)}(t)]^* x \rangle = \langle [S_{k-1}^{(k-1)}(t) - S_k^{(k)}(t)]y, x \rangle, \quad (2.22)$$

we have that $[S_{k-1}^{(k-1)}(t) - S_k^{(k)}(t)]^* x$ is weakly continuous, and therefore strongly measurable. Thus for $\operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq \mu$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\langle \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} [S_{k-1}^{(k-1)}(t) - S_k^{(k)}(t)]y dt, x \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle y, \int_0^\infty e^{-\bar{\lambda} t} [S_{k-1}^{(k-1)}(t) - S_k^{(k)}(t)]^* x dt \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

According to (1.4), we obtain that for $x \in H$, $\operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq \mu$, $1 \leq k \leq n$,

$$F_k^*(\lambda)x = \int_0^\infty e^{-\bar{\lambda} t} [S_{k-1}^{(k-1)}(t) - S_k^{(k)}(t)]^* x dt. \quad (2.23)$$

This combined with (1.1) and Lemma 2 shows that

$$\|F_k^*(\tau_0 + i\omega)x\| \in L_2(\mathbf{R}), \quad x \in H, 1 \leq k \leq n. \quad (2.24)$$

Let us now estimate $I_{3,2}(k)$. In view of (2.6), for each $x, y \in H$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle I_{3,2}(k)x, y \rangle| &= \frac{1}{t} \left| \int_{\tau_0-m+i\omega_m}^{\tau_0-m+i\omega_l} e^{\lambda t} \left\langle (k-1)\lambda^{-1}F_k(\lambda)x \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \sum_{j=1}^n jF_j(\lambda)F_k(\lambda)x, y \right\rangle d\lambda \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{t} \left| \int_{\tau_0-m+i\omega_m}^{\tau_0-m+i\omega_l} e^{\lambda t} \left\langle (k-1)\left\langle F_k(\lambda)x, (\bar{\lambda})^{-1}y \right\rangle \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \sum_{j=1}^n j\left\langle F_k(\lambda)x, F_j^*(\lambda)y \right\rangle \right\rangle d\lambda \right|. \end{aligned}$$

By virtue of (2.19), (2.20), (2.21) and (2.23), we have that for any $x, y \in H$,

$$|\langle I_{3,2}(k)x, y \rangle| \leq \frac{1}{t} nm^n e^{(\tau_0-m)t} C(x, y), \quad (2.25)$$

where $C(x, y) > 0$ depends uniquely on x and y . Accordingly, the principle of uniform boundedness yields that for each $t > 0$, there exists a constant C independent of m, l such that

$$\|I_{3,2}(k)\| \leq Ct^{-1} nm^n e^{(\tau_0-m)t} \rightarrow 0,$$

uniformly on compacts of $t > 0$, as $l > m \rightarrow \infty$.

This completes the proof of (2.17). Reasoning in the same way with $\int_{\tau_0-i\omega_l}^{\tau_0-i\omega_m} e^{\lambda t} F_k(\lambda) d\lambda$, we obtain (2.18).

Finally, for $t > 0$, $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, set

$$T_k(t; m) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\tau_0-i\omega_m}^{\tau_0+i\omega_m} e^{\lambda t} F_k(\lambda) d\lambda, \quad m \in \mathbf{N}.$$

It follows from (2.17) and (2.18) that for each $t > 0$, $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, $\{T_k(t; m)\}_{m=1}^\infty$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L(H)$, and therefore there exist $T_k(t) \in L(H)$ ($1 \leq k \leq n-1$) such that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|T_k(t, m) - T_k(t)\| = 0, \quad (2.26)$$

uniformly on compacts of $t > 0$. Moreover, by the fact from [5, Corollary 6.4(c)] that for every $x \in \bigcap_{i=0}^{n-1} D(A_i)$ and $0 \leq k \leq n-1$, $S_k(t)x$ is the solution of (ACP_n) with $u_k^{(l)}(0) = \delta_{kl}u$, δ_{kl} the Kronecker delta ($0 \leq k, l \leq$

$n - 1$), we can use [24, Lemma 3] to obtain that for $\eta > \mu$ and $x \in \cap_{i=0}^{n-1} D(A_i)$, $0 \leq k \leq n - 1$,

$$S_k^{(k)}(t)x = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\eta-i\infty}^{\eta+i\infty} e^{\lambda t} \sum_{i=k+1}^n \lambda^{i-1} \overline{P_\lambda^{-1} A_i} x d\lambda. \quad (2.27)$$

As a consequence, for each $0 \leq k \leq n - 1$, $\sum_{i=k+1}^n T_i(t)$ coincides with $S_k^{(k)}(t)$ on the dense set $\cap_{k=0}^{n-1} D(A_k)$ and hence on all of H .

Obviously, for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, $m \in \mathbf{N}$, $T_i(t, m)$ is norm continuous for $t > 0$. According to this, (2.26) shows that for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, $T_i(t)$ is norm continuous for $t > 0$, and then, so does $S_k^{(k)}(t)$ ($0 \leq k \leq n - 1$).

Remark. Formally one can convert (ACP_n) to a first order system by introducing the auxiliary variable such as $y_0 = u$, $y_1 = u'$, ..., $y_n = u^{(n-1)}$. Yet this seems to be of no particular help in proving the result above.

COROLLARY 1. *Let the characteristic condition of Theorem 1 be satisfied. Then for each $0 \leq k \leq n - 1$, $S_k(t)$ is norm continuous for $t > 0$.*

Proof. This assertion is a direct consequence of Theorem 1 and the identity

$$S_k(t) = \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} S_k^{(k)}(s) ds \quad (1 \leq k \leq n-1).$$

3. AN EXAMPLE

Let $a_1 > a_2 > \dots > a_{n-1} > 0$. Suppose that $-A$ is the generator of a C_0 semigroup on H which is norm continuous for $t > 0$, with $(-\infty, 0) \subset \rho(A)$ (the resolvent set of A); B_0, B_1, \dots, B_{n-2} are closed linear operators on H such that for each $0 \leq j \leq n - 2$, $D(B_j) \supset D(A^{(1/2)(n-j)})$, and there is a $\lambda_j \in \rho(A^{(1/2)(n-j)})$ such that $(\lambda_j - A^{(1/2)(n-j)})^{-1} B_j$ has a bounded extension. Consider the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{d}{dt} + a_i A^{1/2} \right) (u'(t) + Au(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} B_j u^{(j)}(t) = 0 & (t \geq 0), \\ u^k(0) = u_k & (0 \leq k \leq n-1). \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

It is known that $-A^{1/2}$ (and so for each $-a_i A^{1/2}$) generates an analytic semigroup (cf [6, Theorem 6.4.2]). Hence, there exist constants $C_0, b_0 > 0$ such that for every λ with $\operatorname{Re} \lambda > b_0$, we have $\lambda \in \rho(-a_i A^{1/2})$ and

$$\|(\lambda + a_i A^{1/2})^{-1}\| \leq C_0 |\lambda|^{-1} \quad (\operatorname{Re} \lambda > b_0, 1 \leq i \leq n-1). \quad (3.2)$$

Moreover, [18, Theorem 2.3.6] gives that there is a constant $b_1 > b_0$ such that $\forall \tau > b_1, \omega \in R, \tau + i\omega \in \rho(-A)$ and

$$\lim_{|\omega| \rightarrow \infty} \|(\tau + i\omega + A)^{-1}\| = 0 \quad (\forall \tau > b_1). \quad (3.3)$$

Noting that for $\operatorname{Re} \lambda > b_1, 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n-1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda(\lambda + a_i A^{1/2})^{-1}(\lambda + a_j A^{1/2})^{-1} \\ &= (a_i - a_j)^{-1} \left[a_i(\lambda + a_i A^{1/2})^{-1} - a_j(\lambda + a_j A^{1/2})^{-1} \right], \\ & A^{1/2}(\lambda + a_i A^{1/2})^{-1}(\lambda + a_j A^{1/2})^{-1} \\ &= (a_i - a_j)^{-1} \left[(\lambda + a_j A^{1/2})^{-1} - (\lambda + a_i A^{1/2})^{-1} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

We get that for each $0 \leq k \leq n-2$, there exist constants $C_1(k), \dots, C_{n-1}(k)$ such that for $\operatorname{Re} \lambda > b_1$,

$$\lambda^k A^{(1/2)(n-k-2)} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (\lambda + a_j A^{1/2})^{-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} C_i(k) (\lambda + a_i A^{1/2})^{-1}. \quad (3.4)$$

Take $\mu_i \in \rho(a_i A^{1/2} - A)$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. We have that for $\operatorname{Re} \lambda > b_1, 1 \leq i \leq n-1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda(\lambda + a_i A^{1/2})^{-1}(\lambda + A)^{-1} \\ &= \left[(\mu_i + A)(\mu_i + A - a_i A^{1/2})^{-1} \right] \\ & \quad \times \left\{ \mu_i(\lambda + \mu_i + A)^{-1}(\lambda + A)^{-1} + (\lambda + \mu_i + A)^{-1} \right\} \\ & \quad - \left[a_i A^{1/2}(\mu_i + A - a_i A^{1/2})^{-1} \right] \left\{ \mu_i(\lambda + a_i A^{1/2})^{-1}(\lambda + A)^{-1} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + (\lambda + a_i A^{1/2})^{-1} \right\}, \quad (3.5) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} A(\lambda + a_i A^{1/2})^{-1}(\lambda + A)^{-1} &= (\lambda + a_i A^{1/2})^{-1} \\ & \quad - \lambda(\lambda + a_i A^{1/2})^{-1}(\lambda + A)^{-1}. \quad (3.6) \end{aligned}$$

Now setting

$$P_0(\lambda) = (\lambda + A) \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\lambda + a_i A),$$

then (3.4), (3.5), and (3.6) together indicate that there exist $C, b > 0$ such that for $\operatorname{Re} \lambda > b$, $0 \leq k \leq n-2$, $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| [\lambda^k A^{(1/2)(n-k)} P_0^{-1}(\lambda)]^{(m)} \right\|, \left\| [\lambda^{n-1} P_0^{-1}(\lambda)]^{(m)} \right\| \\ & \leq C m! (\operatorname{Re} \lambda - b)^{-m-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, strong wellposedness of (3.1) follows immediately from [26, 27] (or via arguing similarly as in the proof of [24, Theorem 2]). On the other hand, making use of (3.4), (3.5), and (3.6) again, we find that for $0 \leq k \leq n-2$, $\tau > b_1$,

$$\lim_{|\omega| \rightarrow \infty} \|(\tau + i\omega)^k A^{(1/2)(n-k)} P_0^{-1}(\tau + i\omega)\| = 0, \quad (3.7)$$

$$\lim_{|\omega| \rightarrow \infty} \|(\tau + i\omega)^{n-1} P_0^{-1}(\tau + i\omega)\| = 0, \quad (3.8)$$

by virtue of (3.2) and (3.3).

Since, for $0 \leq j \leq n-1$, $\operatorname{Re} \lambda > b_1$,

$$\lambda^j \overline{P_0^{-1}(\lambda) B_j} = \lambda^j (\lambda_j - A^{(1/2)(n-j)}) P_0^{-1}(\lambda) \cdot \overline{(\lambda_j - A^{(1/2)(n-j)})^{-1} B_j},$$

and $\overline{(\lambda_j - A^{(1/2)(n-j)})^{-1} B_j}$ is bounded by hypothesis, we assert by (3.7) that

$$\lim_{|\omega| \rightarrow \infty} \|(\tau + i\omega)^j \overline{P_0^{-1}(\tau + i\omega) B_j}\| = 0 \quad (\tau > b_1, 0 \leq j \leq n-2).$$

Accordingly, for each fixed $\tau > b_1$, when $|\omega|$ is large enough,

$$\left[I + \sum_{j=0}^{n-2} (\tau + i\omega)^j \overline{P_0^{-1}(\tau + i\omega) B_j} \right]$$

has bounded inverse. Observing

$$(\lambda + A) \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (\lambda + a_i A^{1/2})^{-1} + \sum_{j=0}^{n-2} B_j \lambda^j = P_0(\lambda) \left(I + \sum_{j=0}^{n-2} \lambda^j P_0^{-1}(\lambda) B_j \right),$$

we conclude by (3.7) and (3.8) that the hypothesis in Theorem 1 is satisfied. Therefore, the propagators $S_0(t), S_1(t), \dots, S_{n-1}(t)$ of (3.1), as well as $S_k^{(k)}(t)$ ($\forall 1 \leq k \leq n-1$), are norm continuous for $t > 0$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors thank Professor E. B. Davies for his useful suggestions. The authors also thank the referee for his helpful comments.

REFERENCES

1. G. Chen and D. L. Russell, A mathematical model for linear elastic systems with structural damping, *Quart. Appl. Math.* **39** (1981–1982), 433–454.
2. G. Chen, M. Coleman, and H. H. West, Pointwise stabilization of the middle of the span for second order systems, nonuniform and uniform exponential decay of solutions, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **47** (1987), 751–780.
3. G. Chen, M. C. Delfour, A. M. Krall, and G. Payre, Modeling, stabilization and control of serially connected beams, *SIAM J. Control Optim.* **25** (1987), 526–546.
4. S. Chen and R. Triggiani, Proof of extension of two conjectures on structural damping for elastic systems, *Pacific J. Math.* **136** (1989), 15–55.
5. H. O. Fattorini, Extension and behavior at infinity of solutions of certain linear operational differential equations, *Pacific J. Math.* **33** (1970), 583–615.
6. H. O. Fattorini, “The Cauchy Problem,” Addison–Wesley, London, 1983.
7. H. O. Fattorini, “Second Order Linear Differential Equations in Banach Spaces,” Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1985.
8. E. Hewitt, “Real and Abstract Analysis,” Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1965.
9. E. Hille and R. S. Phillips, Functional analysis and semigroups, in “Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ.,” Vol. 31, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1957.
10. R. Hersh, Explicit solutions of a class of higher–order abstract Cauchy problems, *J. Differential Equations* **8** (1970), 570–579.
11. F. L. Huang, Characteristic conditions for exponential stability of linear dynamical systems in Hilbert space, *Ann. Differential Equations* **1** (1985), 43–56.
12. F. L. Huang, On a mathematical model for linear elastic systems with analytic damping, *SIAM J. Control Optim.* **26** (1988), 714–724.
13. S. G. Krein, “Linear Differential Equations in Banach Spaces,” Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1971.
14. P. Massett, Limiting behavior for strongly damped nonlinear wave equations, *J. Differential Equations* **48** (1983), 334–349.
15. I. V. Melnikova and A. I. Filinkov, A theorem of Miyadera–Feller–Phillips type for a complete second–order equation in Banach spaces, *Izv. Zyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Mat.* **4** (1984), 34–40.
16. F. Neubrander, Well-posedness of higher order abstract Cauchy problems, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **295** (1986), 257–290.
17. E. Obrecht, Sul problema di Cauchy per le equazioni paraboliche astratte di ordine n , *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova* **53** (1975), 231–256.
18. A. Pazy, “Semigroup of Linear Operators and Application to Partial Differential Equations,” Springer-Verlag, New York/Berlin, 1983.
19. D. L. Russell, Mathematical models for the elastic beam and their control-theoretic implications, in “Semigroup, Theory and Applications” (H. Brezis, M. G. Crandall, and E. Kappel, Eds.), Vol. II, Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series, Longman, Harlow, 1986.
20. D. L. Russell, A general framework for the study of indirect damping mechanisms in elastic systems, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **173** (1993), 339–358.

21. J. T. Sandefur, Higher order abstract Cauchy problems, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **60** (1977), 728–742.
22. J. T. Sandefur, Existence and uniqueness of solutions of second order nonlinear differential equations, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **14** (1983), 477–487.
23. J. Song, J. Y. Yu, S. J. Hu, Y. Z. Xiao, and G. T. Zhu, Asymptotic property of the solutions of a freely elastic beam with structural damping, *Scientia Sinica* **27** (1984), 1307–1316.
24. T. J. Xio and J. Liang, On complete second order linear differential equations in Banach spaces, *Pacific J. Math.* **142** (1990), 175–195.
25. T. J. Xio and J. Liang, Analyticity of the propagators of second order linear differential equations in Banach spaces, *Semigroup Forum* **44** (1992), 356–363.
26. T. J. Xiao (Xio) and J. Liang, The Cauchy problem for higher order abstract differential equations, *Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. A* **14** (1993), 523–535.
27. T. J. Xiao (Xio) and J. Liang, The Cauchy problem for higher order abstract differential equations (Abstract), *J. Yunnan Normal Univ.* **11**, No. 4, (1991), 98–100.
28. T. J. Xio and J. Liang, A note on the propagators of second order linear differential equations in Hilbert spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **113** (1991), 663–667.
29. P. H. You, Characteristic conditions for a C_0 -semigroup with continuity in the uniform operator topology for $t > 0$ in Hilbert space, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **116** (1992), 991–997.